```
Case 3:07-cv-03207-SI Document 1 Filed 06/18/2007 Page 1 of 5
   ERSKINE & TULLEY
 1
   A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
   MICHAEL J. CARROLL (ST. BAR #50246)
   220 Montgomery Street, Suite 303
   San Francisco, CA 94104
   Telephone: (415) 392-5431
   Facsimile:
               (415) 392-1978
4
5
   Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6
 7
8
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA)
                                                   NO. C 07 3207 JL
   FLOOR COVERING INDUSTRY WELFARE FUND; STEVE )
12
   HAVENS, TRUSTEE,
13
                             Plaintiffs,
                                                   COMPLAINT
14
             VS.
15
   BEVIL'S FLOOR SERVICE, INC., a California
   corporation,
16
                             Defendant.
17
18
             Plaintiffs complain of defendant and for a cause of action
19
   alleges that:
20
                  Jurisdiction of this Court is founded upon Section 301
21
   (c)(1) of the National Labor Relations Act of 1947 [29 U.S.C. §185(a)]
   and Section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of
22
23
   1974, said Act being hereinafter referred to as "ERISA" (29 U.S.C.
24
   §1132), in that defendant has violated a collective bargaining
25
   agreement and certain Trust Agreements, thereby violating the
   provisions of ERISA and the provisions of the National Labor Relations
26
27
   Act of 1947. This action is also brought pursuant to the Federal
28
   Declaratory Judgment Act (28 U.S.C. §2201 et seq.) in a case of actual
```

1

6 7 8

10 11

12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

controversy between plaintiffs and defendant, and for a Judgment that defendant pay fringe benefit contributions in accordance with its contractual obligations.

- 2. Plaintiffs, Boards of Trustees of the California Floor Covering Industry Welfare Fund (hereinafter "Trust Fund"), named in the caption are trustees of employee benefit plans within the meaning of \$\$3(1) and \$302(d)(1) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. \$1002(1) and (3) and \$1132(d)(1), and a multiemployer plan within the meaning of §§3(37) and 515 of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§1002(37) and §1145. Plaintiff Steve Havens is a trustee. Said Trust Funds are authorized to maintain suit as independent legal entities under \$502(d)(1) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. \$1132(d)(1).
- Plaintiffs are informed and believes and thereupon 3. alleges that defendant, BEVIL'S FLOOR SERVICE, INC., resides and does business in Morgan Hill, California. The collective bargaining agreement was entered into in San Jose, CA. Performance of the obligations set forth therein is in this judicial district.
- Each and every defendant herein is the agent of each and every other defendant herein. Defendants and each of them are engaged in commerce or in an industry affecting commerce.
- At all times pertinent hereto, defendant was bound by a written collective bargaining agreement with Carpet, Linoleum & Soft Tile Workers, Local 12, a labor organization in an industry affecting commerce. The aforesaid agreement provide that defendant shall make contributions to the TRUST FUND, on behalf of defendant's employees on a regular basis on all hours worked, and that defendant shall be bound to and abide by all the provisions of the respective Trust Agreement and Declarations of Trust of said TRUST FUNDS (hereinafter

the "Trust Agreement").

- 6. The Trust Fund relies upon a self reporting system. Defendant has unique knowledge of the amounts of contributions that it is liable to pay each month, and has a fiduciary obligation to accurately report the amount to the Trust Fund.
- 7. Defendant has breached both the provisions of the collective bargaining agreement and the Trust Agreement above referred to by failing to complete and send in monthly reports and/or to pay all moneys due thereunder on behalf of defendant's employees to the TRUST FUND. Said breach constitutes a violation of ERISA (29 U.S.C. 1002, et seq.) and of the National Labor Relations Act of 1947.
- 8. Defendant has failed and refused to pay in a timely manner since May 2006. Pursuant to the terms of the collective bargaining agreement there is now due, owing and unpaid from defendant to the TRUST FUND contributions for hours worked by covered employees for the months of May 2007; Liquidated damages and interest are specifically provided for by said agreements and are due and owing. The total amount due is unknown at this time; additional monthly amounts may become due during the course of this litigation and in the interest of judicial economy, recovery of said sums will be sought in this case. Interest is due and owing on all principal amounts due and unpaid at the legal rate from the dates on which the principal amounts due accrued.
- 9. Demand has been made upon said defendant, but defendant has failed and refused to pay the amounts due the TRUST FUND or any part thereof; and there is still due, owing and unpaid from defendant the amounts set forth in Paragraph 8 above.
 - 10. An actual controversy exists between plaintiffs and

1

6

13 14

16 17

15

18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27

28

future pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement and the Trust Agreement, and defendant refuses to make such payments in a timely manner. The Trust Fund does not at this time seek to audit the

defendant in that plaintiffs contend that plaintiffs are entitled to

a timely monthly payment of trust fund contributions now and in the

- books and records of defendant. The only issue raised in this complaint is defendant's failure to complete and file voluntary monthly reports and pay the contributions due. The Trust Fund seeks to obtain a judgment for any outstanding delinquent contributions based on defendant's reports and to reserve the right to audit defendant for this or any other unaudited period.
- The Trust Agreement provides that, in the event suit is instituted to enforce payments due thereunder, the defendant shall pay court costs and reasonable attorneys' fee. It has been necessary for plaintiffs to employ ERSKINE & TULLEY, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, as attorneys to prosecute the within action, and reasonable attorneys' fee should be allowed by the Court on account of the employment by plaintiffs of said attorneys.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray:

- 1. That the Court render a judgment on behalf of plaintiffs for all contributions due and owing to the date of judgment based upon unaudited reporting forms, plus liquidated damages provided for by the contract, interest at the legal rate, reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action and costs.
- That the Court enjoin the defendant from violating the terms of the collective bargaining agreements and the Trust Agreement for the full period for which defendant is contractually bound to file

1	reports and pay contributions to the TRUST FUND.
2	3. That the Court reserve plaintiffs' contractual right to
3	audit defendant for months prior to judgment, and in the event of such
4	audit, collect any additional sums which may be due.
5	4. That the Court retain jurisdiction of this cause pending
6	compliance with its orders.
7	5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems
8	just and proper.
9	DATED: June 18, 2007 ERSKINE & TULLEY A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
10	
11	By:/s/Michael J. Carroll
12	Michael J. Carroll Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	al Control of the Con
27	